EXHIBIT J

	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4	
5	IN RE: GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION
	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD
6	
7	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
8	Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC, et al.
	Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD
9	
	In Re: Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation
LO	Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD
11	
	State of Utah, et al. v. Google LLC, et al.
L2	Case No. 3:21-cv-05227-JD
L3	
	Match Group LLC, et al., v. Google LLC, et al.
.4	Case No. 3:22-cv-02746-JD
.5	
	** CONFIDENTIAL **
.6	
.7	DEPOSITION OF MARC S. RYSMAN, PhD,
.8	called as a witness by and on behalf of Google LLC,
.9	pursuant to the applicable provisions of the
20	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before P. Jodi
21	Ohnemus, RPR, RMR, CRR, CA-CSR #13192, NH-LSR #91,
22	MA-CSR #123193, and Notary Public, within and for
23	the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at 100 Cambridge
24	Street, Boston, Massachusetts, on Friday, March 10,
25	2023, commencing at 9:07 a.m.

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2		MOLO LAMKEN LLP
3		BY: Lauren Weinstein, Esq.
4		600 New Hampshire Avenue, NW
5		Washington, DC 20037
6		202 556-2018
7		Lweinstein@mololamken.com
8		-and-
9		LIEF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN
10		BY: Brendan P. Glackin, Esq.
11		275 Battery Street
12		San Francisco, CA 94111
13		415 956-1000
14		Bglackin@lchb.com
15		-and-
16		BENEDICT LAW GROUP PLLC
17		BY: Brendan Benedict, Esq.
18		(Via Telephone)
19		Michael Altelorando, Esq.
20		42 W. 38th Street, Suite 1002
21		New York, NY 10018
22		212 287-9501
23		Brendan@benedictlawgroup.com
24		Maltebrando@benedictlawgroup.com
25		For the States

	Page 3
APPEARANCES:	(CONT'D)
	BARTLIT BECK LLP
	BY: Karma M. Giulianelli, Esq.
	1801 Wewetta Street, Suite 1200
	Denver, CO 80202
	303 592-3100
	Karma.giulianelli@bartlitbeck.com
	-and-
	(Via Telephone)
	KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP
	BY: Hae Sung Nam, Esq.
	850 Third Avenue, 14th Floor
	New York, NY 10022
	Hnam@kaplanfox.com
	For the consumers
	HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP
	BY: Tate Harshbarger
	523 West 6th Street, Suite 400
	Los Angeles, CA 90014
	213 788-4752
	Tharshbarger@hueston.com
	For Match Group
	APPEARANCES:

		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES:	(CONT'D)
2		
3		CRAVATH SWAINE & MOORE LLP
4		BY: Eric Zepp, Esq.
5		Worldwide Plaza
6		825 Eighth Avenue
7		New York, NY 10019
8		212 474-1829
9		Ezepp@cravath.com
10		For Epic Games
11		
12		
13		(Via Telephone)
14		STATE OF TENNESSEE
15		OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
16		BY: Ethan Bowers, Esq.
17		301 6th Avenue N
18		Nashville, TN 37243
19		615 741-3491
20		Ethan.bowers@ag.tn.gov
21		For the State of Tennessee
22		
23		
24		
25		

		Page 5
1	APPEARANCES:	(CONT'D)
2		
3		(Via Telephone)
4		NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF THE
5		ATTORNEY GENERAL
6		BY: Bryan L. Bloom, Esq.
7		Timothy O'Neill, Esq.
8		The Capitol
9		Albany, NY 12224-0341
10		Bryan.bloom@ag.ny.gov
11		Timothyoneill@ag.ny.gov
12		For New York State
13		
14		
15		(Via Telephone)
16		STATE OF UTAH
17		OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
18		BY: Bahader S. Khan, Esq.
19		350 N. State Street, Suite 230
20		Salt Lake City, UT 84114
21		801 366-0260
22		Bahader.khan@ag.ut.gov
23		For the State of Utah
24		
25		

		Page 6
1	APPEARANCES:	(CONT'D)
2		
3		MUNGER TOLLES & OLSON LLP
4		BY: Justin P. Raphael, Esq.
5		350 S. Grand Avenue, 50th Floor
6		Los Angeles, CA 90071
7		415 512-4085
8		Justin.raphael@mto.com
9		For Google LLC
10		
11		
12	ALSO PRESENT:	
13		
14		(Via Telephone)
15		Jeanette Teckman, Esq.
16		in-house counsel, Match Group
17		
18		Shawn Budd, Video Operator
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Case 3:21-cv-05227-JD Document 395-11 Filed 05/19/23 Page 8 of 11

	Page 7	
1	INDEX	
2		
3	TESTIMONY OF: PAGE	
4		
5	MARC S. RYSMAN, PhD	
6	(By Mr. Raphael) 9	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Case 3:21-cv-05227-JD Document 395-11 Filed 05/19/23 Page 9 of 11

		1	Page 8
1		EXHIBITS	
2	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3			
4	Exhibit 1	.057 Expert Report of Marc	9
5		Rysman, October 3, 2022	
6	Exhibit 1	.058 Expert Rebuttal Report of	9
7		Dr. Marc Rysman, December	
8		23, 2022	
9	Exhibit 1	.059 Merits Report of Hal J.	70
10		Singer, PhD	
11	Exhibit 1	.060 Game Change: The Future of	189
12		Video Games	
13	Exhibit 1	.061 spreadsheet, AMZ-GP_0000247	71 358
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

CONFIDENTIAL
Page 84
the value of that additional happiness; right?
MS. WEINSTEIN: Objection to form.
A. Well, I don't say that. If a I'd have
to think about what I would want to do, if I wanted
to calculate for a given individual what their
value of variety would be.
Q. Right. So but but sitting here
today, you're not saying that the model you've
actually put in your report could be used to
reliably calculate the additional happiness any
consumer would experience from additional app
variety?
MS. WEINSTEIN: Objection to form.
A. Well, just thinking about it now, you
know, I you could apply the I developed these
multipliers of spending that consumers would obtain
either from a price effect or a variety effect
or or both. And you could apply that to an
individual as well.
Q. And do you think that would accurately
capture the variation among consumers in terms of
their different tastes and interests in different
apps?
MS. WEINSTEIN: Objection to form.

A. Well, my goal's not to be perfectly

Page 85
accurate in this case. I make many decisions to be
conservative. And so I think what I would be
developing would be a conservative number on
of and from my perspective, conservative
generates a lower damages number.
Q. Would using your app variety model in this
case reliably tell you what the additional
happiness that any individual consumer would
experience from additional app variety?
MS. WEINSTEIN: Objection to form.
A. I think it would be reasonable I could
see it being reasonable to use my model for at
the level of an individual applying it to
individual spending. I've made some conservative
assumptions.
Q. Is spending the only variable between
consumers that's relevant to the happiness they
would get from additional app variety?
MS. WEINSTEIN: Objection to form.
A. Say the guestion again.

- - Is the variation between what different consumers spend the only variation between them that is relevant to calculating how much additional happiness each of them would get from additional app variety?